



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47250-2024

Date of Decision: 22.01.2025

Balraj

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Virender Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Vishwajeet, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.06, dated 09.01.2024, under Sections 307,34,120-B of IPC and under Sections 25/27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Alewa, District Jind (Annexure P-1).

2. On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:-

The FIR was lodged at the instance of Kasturi, wherein it is alleged that on 1.1.2024 when she was returning home from Jind after attending funeral ceremony of her brother-in-law and when she reached near the house of Surajbhan, she saw that Rochak son of Surajbhan was firing from a gun from the roof of his house. It is the case of prosecution that the complainant as well as Anjali, Dashrath, Harish, Sukhvinder, Hrish and Moni sustained pellet injuries.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is nowhere named in the FIR and that the specific alle

gations in the FIR are only against Rochak, who was firing at people on account of which several persons sustained pellet injuries. It has been submitted that the petitioner came to be nominated subsequently on the basis of a disclosure statement of co-accused as per which the petitioner as well as some other co-accused are alleged to have provoked the main accused Rochak to fire at people on the day of incident.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that several identically situated co-accused have already been granted either anticipatory bail or interim bail by this Court and, as such, the petitioner also deserves the same concession in view of facts and circumstances and also on grounds of parity. Learned counsel, in this regard, has drawn the attention of this Court to order dated 2.9.2024 (Annexure P-7) passed by this Court in CRM-M36159-2024.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 20.09.2024 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
4. Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.
5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 20.09.2024 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S/438(2) of Cr.P.C.

22.01.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No