



CWP-23480-2025 (O&M)

-1-

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-23480-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.08.2025**

Surinder Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

The Commissioner, Faridkot and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Sonak, AAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the order dated 28.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) vide which the arms licence of the petitioner has been suspended and also the order dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-8) whereby the appeal filed by the petitioner has been dismissed by the Appellate Authority with a further prayer in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondent to renew the arms licence of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had filed an application for renewal of arms licence but vide order dated 28.10.2024 his arms licence was suspended by the Additional Deputy Commissioner, Mansa (Annexure P-5). He further submitted that the aforesaid impugned order (Annexure P-5) passed by the Additional Deputy Commissioner, Mansa is totally a non-speaking order and the same is not backed by any reason whatsoever. He further submitted that even otherwise also a perusal of the impugned order dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-8) passed

by the learned Appellate Authority, who is the Commissioner, Faridkot would show that on the face of it no reason has been mentioned therein and submitted that his limited prayer in the present petition is to set aside the aforesaid order (Annexure P-8) being totally non-speaking and the Appellate Authority may be directed to pass a fresh order backed by reasons.

3. At this stage, Mr. Vikas Sonak, learned AAG, Punjab has submitted that on the face of it the order passed by the Appellate Authority does not seem to be a reasoned order because no reason has been mentioned therein and therefore, he has no objection in case the aforesaid order (Annexure P-8) is set aside.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and on perusal of the impugned order dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-8) passed by the Appellate Authority i.e. the Commissioner, Faridkot, this Court is of the considered view that the aforesaid order (Annexure P-8) is a non-speaking order and not backed by any reason. Consequently, the present petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-8) is hereby set aside. The matter is remanded back to the Commissioner, Faridkot to pass a fresh order in accordance with law which is backed by reasons and also after giving due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner or his counsel. It is further directed that the fresh order will be passed by a fresh application of mind and the Appellate Authority shall not be influenced by any earlier order and also the order passed by this Court in the present petition. The needful be done within a period of three months from today.

27.08.2025
Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable:	Yes/No