



207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8316-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.07.2025

Urwashy Koul

...Petitioner

Vs.

Chandigarh Housing Board and Others

...Respondents

And

Sr. No.	Case No.	Petitioner(s)	Respondent(s)
2.	CWP-10283-2016 (O&M)	Anjana Jindal	Chandigarh Housing Board and Others
3.	CWP-8311-2016	Rakesh Garg	Chandigarh Housing Board and Others

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Sehar Navjeet Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner in **CWP-8316-2016**.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner in **CWP-8311-2016**.

Mr. Vaneet Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner in **CWP-10283-2016**.

Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Gagandeep Singh Wasu, Advocate
for the respondent-Chandigarh Housing Board.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. As common issues are involved in the captioned petitions, with the consent of both sides, the same are hereby disposed of by this common order. For the sake of brevity and convenience, facts are borrowed from **CWP-8316-2016**.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 31.03.2016 whereby she was ordered to retire at the age of 50 years.

3. The petitioner continued to work despite aforesaid order on account of interim order dated 27.06.2016 passed by this Court.

4. Learned counsel representing the petitioner(s) submits that petitioner attained age of superannuation i.e. 58 years in April' 2024, however, on account of adoption of Central Government Rules, the petitioner is permitted to continue beyond 58 years and she would retire in April' 2026.

5. Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate expressed his inability to controvert the aforesaid factual and legal position.

6. The impugned order was passed in 2016 and petitioner is still in service and going to attain age of superannuation in April' 2026. This Court, at this stage, does not find it appropriate to return the findings on merits. It would be in the interest of justice if interim order is made absolute.

7. Accordingly, the interim order is hereby made absolute.

8. ***Disposed of.***

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.07.2025

Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No