



275

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46447-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.12.2025

NAFISHA @ NAFISA AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Ms. Varuna Singh, Advocate for
Ms. Sweta Chahal, Advocate
for petitioners.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajbir, Advocate for
Mr. Akbarjit Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 5.
(through video conferencing)

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J.

1. Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.22 dated 27.02.2023 under Sections 323, 452, 354-B and 34 Indian Penal Code, Police Station Basti Bawa Khel, Police, District Jalandhar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 02.06.2025.
2. On 26.08.2025, this Court directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate for recording of their statements on the basis of compromise dated 02.06.2025.
3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, parties appeared before learned JMIC, Jalandhar on 15.09.2025. Statement of Investigating Officer ASI Neela Ram was recorded on 30.10.2025. Learned JMIC, Jalandhar has submitted his report recording satisfaction that all the parties have

entered into a valid compromise without any influence or coercion. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:

I) Number of persons arrayed as accused in the FIR:- As per statement of ASI Neela Ram five persons have been arrayed as accused in the present case.

II) Number of accused who have appeared before the Trial court and made statements:- Five accused persons namely Nafisha @ Nafisa, Kiran, Kulwant Kaur, Sonia @ Niki and Harpreet Singh@ Preet have appeared before the court and made their statements.

III) Whether any of the accused is an absconder/proclaimed person:- As per statement of ASI Neela Ram none of the accused persons is absconder/proclaimed offender.

IV) Names of the complainant and injured/aggrieved: As per statement of ASI Neela Ram the name of the complainant is Naziya Parween.

V) Whether all injured/aggrieved have appeared and their statements recorded:- All the injured/aggrieved persons have appeared and recorded his statement.

VI) The stage at which the trial is pending:- The case is pending for prosecution evidence.

VII) Satisfaction of the Trial Court that the compromise is genuine, voluntary and out of free Will of the parties:- Having recorded the statements of concerned parties and examined them orally as well, this court is of the considered opinion that

compromise between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

VIII) Whether any other criminal case or proceedings is pending against the accused:- *As per statement of ASI Neela Ram no other criminal case or proceedings are pending against the accused persons.”*

4. Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 5 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise between petitioner and respondents.
5. Since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in “**Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another**” **2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and Hon’ble Supreme Court in “**Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others**” **(2012) 10 SCC 303**, the petition is allowed.
6. FIR No.22 dated 27.02.2023 under Sections 323, 452, 354-B and 34 Indian Penal Code, Police Station Basti Bawa Khel, Police, District Jalandhar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioners.
7. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

11.12.2025
Sumit Singla

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:
:

Yes/No
Yes/No