

2025:PHHC:108948



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

135

CWP-23483-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 20.08.2025

Dr Harsh Vardhan Gupta

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. C.L.Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submits that after passing the impugned order, a representation dated 01.07.2025, Annexure P-8, has been submitted stating therein that even if the petitioner is said to have resigned, still as per Section 4 of the Payment and Gratuity Act, he is entitled to gratuity and leave encashment, but the same has yet evoked any response. He, on instructions, prays that a direction may be given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner.

2. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.

3. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to

the respondents to decide the representation dated 01.07.2025, within a period of 4 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

20.08.2025

parveen kumar

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No