



270

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44054-2025**Date of Decision:20.08.2025**

Sonu and another

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Mr. Kunal Muthreja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to them in case FIR No.54 dated 21.04.2025 registered under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 118(2), 333, 351(3) of BNS, 2023 (Section 117(2) of BNS added later on), at Police Station BPTP, Faridabad.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that both the petitioners are real brothers and have been falsely involved in the present case. Even the complainant and the petitioners are neighbours in a village and the altercation had taken place at the spur of the moment. Learned counsel further contends that as per the prosecution version, only general allegations have been levelled against Radhey Shyam (father of the petitioners) whereas, it has been alleged that Tinku had given fists and slaps on the face of Kajal. However, the said injuries are simple in nature. Both the petitioners were arrested in the present case on 21.04.2025 and no recoveries were effected from

them. Even the petitioners were not involved in any other criminal case in past and have been unnecessarily dragged in the present case. He has relied upon the order dated 24.07.2025 passed in CRM-M-37972-2025 (Annexure P-3), whereby other two co-accused, namely, Radhey Shyam (father of the petitioners) and Tinku (brother of the petitioners) have been granted the concession of bail by this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioners and they do not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, even though five persons had suffered injuries, but all the injured have already been discharged from the hospital. The petitioners are in custody for the last more than 04 months and the challan has been presented against them.

6. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

20.08.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No