



111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8697-2025
Date of decision: 13.08.2025

ANJALI AND ANOTHERPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present: Mr. Amit Bansal, Advocate with
Mr. Kamil Nagpal, Advocate and
Ms. Priyanka Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (Oral):


1. The prayer in the instant criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to interfere in their peaceful lives at the behest of respondents No.4 to 12 and other relatives.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in live-in-relationship and they have apprehension of threat from the private respondent No.3. They have already moved a representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Karnal but no action has been taken.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and submitted that on representation of the petitioners, the competent authority will take action as per law.

5. Learned State counsel also submits that the statement of the



private respondents have already been recorded. They have no objection to the relationship of the petitioners as they are major. They do not want any further action.

6. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation submitted on behalf of the petitioners in accordance with law.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

13.08.2025
monika

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes /No |