

CRM-M-44488-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204-3

CRM-M-44488-2025
Decided on :17.10.2025

Anurag and others

Versus

.....Petitioners

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Rahul Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. M.S. Kathuria, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 05.08.2025(P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
763	08.08.2024	109(1),115,190, 191(3),324(4) of BNS and Section 25-54-59 of Arms Act	City Yamunanagar, District Yamunanagar Haryana

2. At the very outset, learned State counsel submits that there are a total of ten accused in the present case; however, one of the accused, namely Sunil Verma, has expired.
3. Vide order dated 18.08.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.
3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.
4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Ten accused, out of which one accused namely, Sunil Verma has expired
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	Two
3.	Whether all the accused and	Yes

	complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	No
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	NA

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondents are complainant/aggrieved party therein.

6. Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Kanwaljeet Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, in Court today. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-book.



CRM-M-44488-2025

4

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant do not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirm the same during the course of arguments.

7. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

9. Petition stands disposed of.

17.10.2025
rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO