



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43877-2025
DECIDED ON: 12.08.2025

KULJIT SINGHPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJABRESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Saksham Dudeja, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Kuljit Singh, aged about 38 years	99	28.05.2025	15(b) and 29 of NDPS Act, 1985	Mehatpur	Jalandhar

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends, that as per the information provided by a secret informant, Paramjit Kaur (wife of the petitioner, Kuljit Singh) and Kuldeep Kaur (mother of the petitioner) were arrested with the recovery of 30 kilograms of poppy husk. As per their disclosure statements, the recovered contraband was allegedly given to them by the petitioner. While both women were

arrested on the spot, petitioner could not be apprehended at that time and is now seeking anticipatory bail.

3. It is further submitted that petitioner has clean antecedents, with no prior criminal record. Additionally, quantity of contraband recovered, is non-commercial in nature. Therefore, counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance notice, Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, appears on behalf of the respondent–State and informs the Court that co-accused, namely Paramjit Kaur and Kuldeep Kaur, were initially arrested and have since been released on regular bail.

6. On being asked by the Court, learned State counsel further submits that co-accused were not previously found involved in any other similar criminal activity.

7. Based on this, Court draws an inference that petitioner, Kuljit Singh, being male member of the family, was the primary actor in the offence and had supplied the poppy husk to his close relatives, namely his wife and mother.

8. In view of these facts and circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that petitioner is not entitled to the equitable and discretionary relief of anticipatory bail in the present case. Accordingly, present petition is dismissed.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

12.08.2025

Lavisha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>