



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23248-2025

Date of decision: 12.08.2025

Nidhi Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. S.K. Sinha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The lackadaisical and indolent approach of the authorities concerned in not deciding the representation dated 01.08.2025 (Annexure P-3), has propelled the petitioner to approach this Court through the instant writ petition, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Mandamus upon respondent No.2.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has moved a representation dated 01.08.2025 (Annexure P-3), as regards her concerns/grievances, but no action has been taken thereon, till date. He submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case, a direction is passed upon respondent No.2 to decide the representation (supra), in a time bound manner.

3. Since an *innocuous* and a *bona fide* prayer has been made, this Court deems it apt, at this stage, to pass a Mandamus upon the District Education Officer, Kaithal-respondent No.2, to consider and

2025:PHHC:104428



decide the representation (supra), within four weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

- 4. **Disposed of**, accordingly.
- 5. However, in case, the representation (supra) is not decided within the stipulated period, the petitioner is at liberty to move an apt application/motion for revival of the instant petition.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

12.08.2025
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No