



CRM-M-43679-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-43679-2025

Decided on: 18.11.2025

Jaspal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Sukhandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.136 dated 23.12.2022, under Sections 466, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at PS Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran.

2. On 12.08.2025, following order was passed:

“Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.136 dated 23.12.2022 under Sections 466, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case due to political enmity. There is unexplained delay of six months in lodging of the FIR. The allegations of corruption made in the complaint by Mitarpal Singh, were found to be false during investigation. He contended that the role of the petitioner is similar to that of Maniit Kaur and Jagjit Singh and they have already been granted bail. He has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.



CRM-M-43679-2025

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file status report.

List on 18.11.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/ Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS. ”

3. Status report filed by learned State counsel in the Court today is taken on record.

4. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance to the order dated 12.08.2025 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation on 16.11.2025.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 16.11.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 12.08.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

18.11.2025
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
~~YES~~/NO