



-1-

128

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23282-2025

Date of decision:-12.08.2025

Nausida and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present : Mr. Sajan, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, seeking direction to the respondents to grant compensation to the petitioners on account of death of their son, Asif, due to electrocution.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. has framed a policy vide notification dated 08.07.2019, Annexure P3, qua granting compensation in case of



-2-

128

fatal/non-fatal accidents due to electrocution. He submits that petitioners' son was electrocuted while he was at home, as the wall of the building became electrified due to a high voltage tension electric wire passing over it. He states that petitioners' son succumbed to his injuries and a DDR/GD was registered on 29.07.2024, Annexure P1. Counsel also made a reference to the postmortem report, Annexure P2 to assert that the death had occurred due to electrocution. Counsel emphasis that petitioners have submitted a representation dated 18.09.2024, Annexure P5, for grant of compensation under the policy, Annexure P3. However, respondents have not processed the representation. He requests that a direction be issued to the respondents to take a decision on the representation in a time bound manner.

3. Issue notice of motion to the respondents.

4. On asking of Court, Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

5. Having heard counsel for the parties and considering the limited prayer made in the writ petition, this Court does not deem it appropriate to call for a response from the respondents. Representation, Annexure P5, submitted by the petitioners is pending. Respondent – authorities are, therefore, directed to take a final decision thereon by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of communication of this order. In case respondents find that petitioners are entitled to compensation under the policy, Annexure P3, the same be disbursed to them within a period of one month thereafter.



128

6. Petition is disposed of.

12.08.2025
Brij

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No