



CWP-23892-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

CWP-23892-2024

Date of Decision :24.02.2025

**M/s Ravidas Travels Regd. Bathinda
and another**

...Petitioners

Versus

**The State Transport Commissioner-cum Regional
Transport Authority, Punjab & others**

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, notice dated 06.09.2024 published in the Motor Vehicle Gazette, Weekly, Chandigarh on 08.09.2024 (Annexure P/9) has been challenged whereby, meeting was called for reframing the joint time table on routes i.e. Bathinda to Ludhiana via Barnala and back as well as Barnala to Sangrur and back.

2. Short reply filed on behalf of the respondents No.1 & 2 in the Court today is taken on record.

3. In the reply, it has been stated by the respondents that no further action in pursuance to the notice dated 06.09.2024 published in the Motor Vehicle Gazette, Weekly, Chandigarh on 08.09.2024 (Annexure P/9) will be taken by the authority concerned.

4.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in

**CWP-23892-2024****-2-**

view the reply filed by the respondents, present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

5. Ordered accordingly.

February 24, 2025*aarti***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes**Whether reportable : No*