

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

283

Date of decision: 27.10.2025

1. CRM-M-43516-2025

PAVITTAR SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent

2. CRM-M-38085-2025

INDER PAL SINGH AND OTHERS

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR


Present : Mr. Vishavjeet, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-43516-2025 and
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-38085-2025.

Mr. Rahul Jindal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. T.S. Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-38085-2025 and
for respondents No.2 and 3 in CRM-M-43516-2025.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of above noted two petitions, as the same
have emanated out of the same occurrence.



- 2-


2. Prayer in these petition is for quashing of GD No.15, dated 12.06.2025, under Sections 333, 115(2), 298, 3(5), 351(3) of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sadar Kapurthala, District Kapurthala, in case FIR No. 130 dated 11.06.2025, under Sections 115(2), 109, 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar Kapurthala, District Kapurthala, Annexure P-3 and present FIR No. 130 dated 11.06.2025 along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise deed, dated 16.06.2025 arrived at between the parties, Annexure P-2.

3. This Court vide orders dated 12.08.2025 and 19.09.2025 had directed the parties to appear before the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send his/her report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties had appeared before learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 24.10.2025 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

6. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R. following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 titled as “**Kulwinder Singh and others**



Versus State of Punjab and another” and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in (2012) 10 SCC 303 titled as “***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others***”, both the petitions are allowed and the present FIR and GD along all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners, are hereby quashed.

27.10.2025	(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
amandeep	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned.	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	: Yes/No