



- 1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

284

Date of decision: 27.10.2025

1. CRM-M-43712-2025

KULWINDER SINGH ALIAS KINDA AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

2. CRM-M-43725-2025

KASHMIR SINGH AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-43712-2025 and
for respondents No.2 and 3 in CRM-M-43725-2025.

Mr. Rahul Jindal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-43725-2025 and
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-43712-2025.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of above noted two petitions, as the same
have emanated out of the same occurrence.

2. Prayer in these petition is for quashing of DDR No. 26, dated



- 2 -


18.06.2025, under Sections 118(2), 118(1), 351(3), 191(3), 190 of the BNS, 2023 at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, Annexure P-3 in FIR No.123 dated 06.06.2025 under Sections 125, 115(2), 351(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, Annexure P-1 and present FIR No.123 dated 06.06.2025 along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, dated 04.08.2025 arrived at between the parties, Annexure P-4.

2. This Court vide order dated 12.08.2025 had directed the parties to appear before the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send his/her report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties had appeared before learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 03.09.2025 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R. following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 titled as **“Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another”** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme



Court in (2012) 10 SCC 303 titled as “*Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others*”, both the petitions are allowed and the present FIR and DDR along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners are hereby quashed.

27.10.2025
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No