

**CWP-28160-2024****1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****(109)****CWP-28160-2024****Date of Decision : 13.01.2025**

Surjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARIPresent: Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, seeking quashing of impugned order dated 11.06.2024 (Annexure P-19), passed by the respondent No.2. Further prayer is made for setting aside the impugned resolutions dated 15.07.2018, 18.07.2018, 19.07.2018, 20.11.2018, 16.01.2019, 15.01.2020 and 04.07.2020 (Annexures P-1 to P-7), passed by respondent No.6, in violation of the provisions of Haryana Registration and Regulations Societies Act, 2012.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the authorities concerned, considering that the petitioner has filed multiple litigations, has dismissed his complaint, whereas, there is no adjudication on each and every resolution, which was required to be done.

3. The perusal of the impugned order dated 11.03.2022 (Annexure P-16) reflects that the petitioner, by filing the complaint, before the



CWP-28160-2024

2

following resolutions :-

“Resolutions dated 15.07.2018, 18.07.2018, 19.07.2018, 20.11.2018 and 16.01.2019. Further during the course of hearing he prayed to include other resolutions passed on dated 15.01.2020 and 04.07.2020.”

4. Perusal of the impugned order dated 11.03.2022 (Annexure P-16), passed by the District Registrar of Firms and Societies, Karnal, Haryana, reflects that each and every resolution was considered, and it was found that the issue has already been considered in different complaints.

The relevant extract reads as under :-

“iv) In resolution dated 15.07.2018 the decision regarding cancellation of "Pattanama" was taken. But thereafter that on dated 12.01.2020 a new decision was taken to renew the pattanama and Sh. Surjit Singh/appellant himself was present before Tehsildar as witness. So the prayed to cancel or declare the said resolution null and void, which was passed on dated 15.07.2018 become infructuous as he was in agreement with the resolution dated 12.01.2020.

v) In resolution dated 18.07.2018, the new governing body was elected and Sh. Varinder Singh Sandhu was elected as President. It is worth to mention here that State Registrar as well as Registrar General has already given their decision on said matter vide order dated 05/04/2021 and 08/06/2021 respectively. Hence the undersigned cannot adjudicate the matter which has already been decided by higher authorities.

vi) In resolution dated 19.07.2018 and 20.01.2018 decision regarding renewal/ re-registration was taken. It is worthwhile to mention here that at the time of renewal/ re-registration all the members signed including Smt. Phool Devi, Sh. Devender Singh on the documents mentioned in these resolutions except Sh. Surjit Singh, which contradict



CWP-28160-2024

3

their own statement (i.e Phool Devi, Devender Singh) that they signed on blank pages of proceeding register.

(vii) In resolution dated 16.01.2019 decision resignation of Smt. Phool Devi was taken. But this matter has been pending for adjudication before Registrar General and hence the same cannot be adjudicated by under signed.

vii) In resolution dated 15.01.2020, four new mwmbbers were included namely Sh. Sohan Singh, Sh. Jai Karan, Sh. Krishan Malik., Sh. Ravinder Sandhu was taken. But an appeal under Section 39(4) of HRRS Act, 2012 in which their membership was challenged and undersigned has already decided the matter vide order dated 29.05.2021 and undersigned cannot review its own order and appellant have full liberty to file the appeal against the order passed by undersigned before higher authority.

(ix) In resolution dated 04.07.2020 decision regarding filing on line annual return was taken. But the then District Registrar Sh. Janak Kumar already decided the matter and removed Sh. Varinder Sandhu from presidentship vide order dated 29.01.2021 SO the undersigned cannot adjudicate the same matter again and appellant have had full liberty to file the same before higher authorities as mentioned in act.

Keeping above observations in view, I found no ground/ proof to declare the said resolutions null and void. Hence the remanded back case disposed off accordingly.

Orders to be communicated to all concerned.

Date: 11.03.2022”

5. The statutory appeal preferred by the petitioner was also dismissed with the similar observations.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to point out any resolution which was challenged and was not considered in different

proceedings. So far as, the resolutions dated 19.07.2018 and 20.11.2018 are

CWP-28160-2024

4

concerned, these are overlapping issues, which have direct bearing on the resolution dated 18.07.2018, which has already attained finality. No perversity or illegality has been pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner, requiring any interference of this Court.

7. This Court has also perused the impugned order and does not find any infirmity or illegality, warranting any interference of this Court. Accordingly, the instant petition is **dismissed**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 13, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No