



215(1) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43518-2025

Date of Decision: 28.10.2025

MANJEET

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.557 dated 19.07.2025 registered under Sections 91, 351(3), 324(4), 191(3), 190, 115 of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Kundli, District Sonapat.

2. Status report by way of affidavit dated 25.10.2025 of Vipin Ahlawat, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Rai, Sonipat filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. On 25.08.2025, the following order was passed:-

“This is first petition filed under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.557 dated 19.07.2025 registered under Sections 91, 351(3), 324(4), 191(3), 190, 115 of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Kundli, District Sonapat.

The present case was registered on the basis of complaint given to the Police by Jyoti wife of Kailash with the allegations that on 03.07.2025, she had gone to the fields where she saw her husband's uncles, Vinod and Manoj and



their sons Manjeet (petitioner) and Nikhil removing the motor and plates of their solar panel installed at the tubewell and when she asked them not to do so, they started quarrelling with her. They threw her and her sister on the ground, Vinod pushed her and Manoj started kicking her and, thereafter, they informed the Police and they were taken to the hospital for treatment. It is further alleged that when they returned to their house, all the accused along with Geeta, Savitri, Vimal and Manju started chasing her mother-in-law armed with lathis and dandas but she saved herself by locking the door of the house. Apprehending arrest, petitioner has applied for bail which has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that he has been falsely implicated. The dispute was over a tubewell regarding which a Panchayat was convened. Petitioner never knew that the victim was pregnant by 11 weeks and there was no intention to cause any such injury to prevent the birth of the child. Even the report of the Medical Officer is not specific and in the first opinion, the Doctor has opined that victim has suffered a clot and in the second opinion, the doctor has opined that possibility of victim being pregnant cannot be ruled out. However, there is no specific report that victim has suffered any miscarriage. Learned counsel further contended that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation. Nothing is to be recovered from his possession and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour. Moreso, co-accused has been granted ad-interim bail by this court today itself.

Learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Krishan Kumar, has opposed the prayer and argued that the petitioner has committed a heinous offence and he do not deserve the anticipatory bail.

Adjourned to 28.10.2025 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) BNSS/438(2) Cr.P.C.

To be heard along with CRM-M-46269-2025.

4. Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated



25.08.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 25.08.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

6. Disposed of.

7. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

28.10.2025
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No