



CWP-23194-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23194-2025

Date of decision : 11.08.2025

Anita

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Ms. Malvi Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. The petitioner is before this Court assailing notice dated 25.09.2024 (Annexure P-6) issued by the respondent – Bank under Section 13 (2) of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (in short, ‘SARFAESI Act’).

2. The scheme of SARFAESI Act is such, which provides not only for a Forum of Debts Recovery Tribunal (DRT) under Section 17 but also under Section 18 before the Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal (DRAT), to assail the resources adopted by Bank under Section 13 (4).

2.1 However, the SARFAESI Act does not provide for any remedy against a notice issued under Section 13 (2). The petitioner has to await issuance of notice under Section 13 (4). [Please see : **Mardia Chemicals Ltd.**

Vs. Union of India, (2004) 4 SCC 311 (Para 48).]



3. In this case, the petitioner has failed to show any ground of violation of statutory provision under SARFAESI Act or any constitutional right or proven malafide or authority being bereft of jurisdiction to pass orders. Therefore, power of judicial review ought not to be exercised in this regard. [Please see : **Whirlpool Corporation Vs. Registrar of Trade Marks, Mumbai & others, AIR 1999 Supreme Court 22 (Paras 14-20)**].

4. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case and in the absence of any ground made out for invoking the power of judicial review or supervisory jurisdiction under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India, this Court declines interference with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternate remedy available in law.

5. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of without commenting on merits with aforesaid liberty.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

August 11, 2025
narotam

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No