



CRM-M-43513-2025

1

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43513-2025

Date of Decision: 18.08.2025

HARPREET SINGH ALIAS HAPPY

..... Petitioner

*Versus***STATE OF PUNJAB**

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Ms. Ramandeep Kaur Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Jindal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anshdeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. This is second petition for regular bail in case FIR No.6, dated 14.01.2025, registered at Police Station Smalsar, District Moga, under Sections 304(2), 331(6), 118(1), 115(2), 191 and 190 of BNS, 2023.

2. Mr. Anshdeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed Power of Attorney. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. The present case was registered on the basis of statement given to the police by Karamjeet Singh alias Ghamma with the allegations that on 13.01.2025 at about 9:20 PM, he was present at his grocery shop at village Bhalur where Harvinder Singh armed with Kirch



and Harpreet Singh alias Happy (petitioner) armed with Gandasi came there and threatened not to spare him. They were accompanied by Amandeep Singh alias Aman armed with Dang, Sukhchain Singh armed with a Sowrd, Dilpreet Singh alias Deep armed with a sword, Vishavjeet Singh alias Vishu armed with a sword and they all raised a Lalkara that he should not be spared today. Harvinder Singh attacked him with the Kirch which hit on his right arm as well as on the hand of his right arm. Harpreet Singh attacked him with Gandasi when he was closing the shutter of the shop which hit on his lower right leg's knee. In the meantime, Harvinder Singh raised a Lalkara to abduct him and then Sukhchain Singh attacked him with dasti sword on his legs and sword hit him from reverse side on the knee of his left leg. Thereafter, Harvinder Singh demanded a pistol and threatened to kill him and thereafter, they snatched his purse containing about Rs.10,000/- and ran away from the spot. Accused were arrested in due course and after completion of investigation, final report has been presented.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and an exaggerated version has been put forward. Victim has suffered simple injuries. The final report has already been presented in the Court for trial. The petitioner is in custody since 17.01.2025. The trial is certainly going to take sufficiently long time to conclude and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner is not required and he deserves to be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant argued that petitioner has committed a heinous offence and in case he is released on bail, he will try to win over

the witnesses and hamper the trial and as such, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

5. Victim has suffered simple injuries and none of the injuries has been found to be grievous. Challan has already been presented, after completion of investigation and now the case is fixed for prosecution evidence. Petitioner is in custody since 17.01.2025. The trial is certainly going to take sufficiently long time to conclude and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner is not required and he deserves to be released on bail.

6. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

7. Allowed.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

18.08.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No