



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23233-2025

Date of Decision: 25.08.2025

Surmukh Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present: Ms. Alisha Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents to regularize the service of the petitioner along with all consequential benefits.
2. In fact, as per the settled law, a demand ought to have been raised as a pre-requisite to seeking a relief of writ of Mandamus and admittedly, in the present case no demand has been raised by the petitioner so far.
3. Consequently, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the official respondents in the first instance, claiming similar relief.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty aforesaid.
5. In case, any such representation is moved within a period of four weeks from today, the same shall be decided by the official respondents within

a period of three months, thereafter.

6. It is expected that the official respondents shall decide the matter in the light of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP-19238-2013, titled as **“Amrish Sharma Vs. State of Punjab”**, and other relevant rules and oblique instructions and the law applicable thereto by passing a well reasoned and speaking order.

7. In case, the petitioner is found entitled to pay relief claimed in the petition, all consequential benefits shall also be released to him.

25.08.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No