

CRWP-8681 of 2025

2025:PHHC:105211



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8681 of 2025

Date of decision: 13.08.2025

Mamta Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: - Ms. Sheena Khanna, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant second petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioner and also directing respondents No.4 to 9 not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner and further directing respondents No.2 and 3 not to allow respondents No.4 to 9 or anybody else to interfere in the liberty and life of the petitioner or to harass her in any manner against the wishes of the petitioner or to cause any detriment to the petitioner in any manner. Further prayer has been made for investigation on representation dated 30.07.2025 (Annexure P-4), submitted by the petitioner before respondent No.2, in accordance with law.

2025:PHHC:105211



2. The petitioner had earlier filed CRM-M-40209 of 2025 (Mamta Rani v. State of Punjab and others), which came up for hearing on 29.07.2025 and the following order was passed: -

“1. Instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by directing respondents No.4 to 9 not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner and further directing respondents No.2 and 3 not to allow respondents No.4 to 9 or anybody else to interfere. It is further prayed that investigation be conducted in accordance with law on the representation dated 17.07.2025 (Annexure P-4, wrongly typed as Annexure P-2 in the headnote) submitted by the petitioner before respondent No.2.


2. Perusal of the file shows that the alleged representation is dated 17.07.2025 and the same has been sent by courier to respondent No.2 on 21.07.2025 and whereas the instant petition has been prepared on 18.07.2025 and has been filed on 22.07.2025 i.e. before the receipt of the said representation by the concerned respondent. Therefore, filing of the instant petition is totally an abuse of process of law as the petitioner has not waited for the outcome of the representation.

3. Consequently, the present petition deserves to be dismissed with costs.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn.”

3. There is concealment on the part of the petitioner as the said order has not been annexed with the present petition. Further, perusal of the file shows that alleged representation (Annexure P-4) is dated 30.07.2025 and the petition has been filed on 06.08.2025.



- 4. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition.
- 5. Dismissed as withdrawn.

13.08.2025
R.S.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No