



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(224) CWP-29755-2019
Date of decision:- 03.11.2025

M/S MANISH KUMAR ENTERPRISES ... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Vashisht, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

None for respondents No.4 and 5.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On the previous date, it was brought to the notice of the Court that counsel representing the petitioner had unfortunately expired.
2. Notice was issued to the petitioner which has been received back unserved with the report that petitioner could not be located as his address is incomplete.
3. No one has come forward to pursue the petition.
4. Dismissed in default for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to seek revival, in case, he intends to pursue the matter.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

03.11.2025

parul verma

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No