

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

131

CRWP-8546-2025
Date of decision: 07.08.2025

SIMRANJIT KAUR AND ANOTHERPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr.A.K. Parmar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. By filing present petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberty in view of the fact that they are living in a live-in relationship and are under eminent threats at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 7.

PARTICULARS OF PETITIONERS:

Petitioner No.	Name	Age
1.	Simranjit Kaur	20 years
2.	Jagdeep Singh	18 years

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that although both the petitioners are major, but petitioner No.2 is not of marriageable age. The petitioners are living in a live-in relationship against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 05.08.2025 (Annexure P-3), to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.



- 3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.
- 4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab. accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.
- 5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur, to look into the representation dated 05.08.2025 (Annexure P-3), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.
- 6. However, this direction will not validate the live-in status between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

07.08.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No