



CRM-M-43158-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

**CRM-M-43158-2025
Decided on: 29.10.2025**

NIRMLA**.....Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA****.....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY**

Present: Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikram Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J.

1. Petitioner an accused has sought concession of pre-arrest bail in case of FIR No. 172 dated 07.07.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(2), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Faridabad old, District Faridabad, against her and others at the instance of Mahesh son of Munshi Ram, resident of H. No.1222, Gali No.2, New Baselwa Colony Old Faridabad.

2. Status report by way of affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Old Faridabad on behalf of respondent-State has been filed wherein in para 8 thereof, it has been mentioned that petitioner Nirmla has joined investigation on 18.09.2025 and one *danda* used by her in commission of offence has already been got recovered by one Mamta in another FIR No.175 dated 09.07.2025 Police Station Old Faridabad.



CRM-M-43158-2025

2

3. Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner is not needed for further investigation.

4. Heard.

5. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 13.08.2025, the following order was passed:

“ Petitioner an accused has sought concession of pre-arrest bail in case of FIR No. 172 dated 07.07.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(2), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Faridabad old, District Faridabad, against her and others at the instance of Mahesh son of Munshi Ram, resident of H. No.1222, Gali No.2, New Baselwa Colony Old Faridabad.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The incident did not occur in the manner as portrayed by the complainant party. One of the accused also suffered serious injuries and at their instance, a cross FIR No.175 dated 09.07.2025 under Section 115, 117(2), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS Act, 2023 at Police Station Faridabad Old, was also registered.

3. Continuing further learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that even if the story as alleged by the complainant party is taken to be true at its face value (though not admitted), the only role attributed to the present petitioner is that she gave a ‘danda’ blow to complainant. Learned counsel next submits that the petitioner is willing to join investigation as and when called for by the Investigating Officer.

4. Notice of motion.



5. Ms. Shweta Nahata, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana and seeks time to file status report/reply.

6. Adjourned to 01.10.2025.

7. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of her arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).”

6. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner has joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 13.08.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.

8. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

29.10.2025
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO