



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102+105

CRM-M-42931-2025

Date of Decision : 13.08.2025

ANMOL SINGH

.... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... RESPONDENT

2.

CRM-M-44062-2025

MANDEEP SINGH ALIAS LUCKY

.... PETITIONER

V/ S

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present : Mr. P.P.S.Tung, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-42931-2025.

Mr. P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-44062-2025.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, the abovesaid petitions are disposed of as the same are arising out of the same FIR and involve similar questions of facts and law. For the sake of convenience and with the consent of parties, the facts are borrowed from CRM-M-42931-2025.

2. Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.165 dated 21.06.2025 registered under Sections 126(2),



115(2), 118(1), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 (Section 117(2) of BNS added later on) at Police Station Civil Lines, District Bathinda.

3. The brief facts of the case are that the present case has been registered on the statement of complainant Hardeep Singh. He was working as Security Guard in AIIMS Hospital, Bathinda. On 16.06.2025, after attending his personal work at the District Court, Bathinda, he went to Club 20 Café, Power House Road, Bathinda, for tea. At about 12.30 p.m., when he came out of the café, he was allegedly surrounded by Anmol Singh, Mandeep Singh @ Lucky (the present petitioners), Jeewan Singh, Teji and about 2–3 unknown persons, all armed with different weapons. It is alleged that Jeewan Singh inflicted a kirpan blow on his head, Teji gave a baseball blow on his back, Anmol Singh assaulted him with the handle of a hand pump and Mandeep Singh @ Lucky gave a blow with an iron kappa. As a result of which, he sustained multiple injuries on his head, chest, shoulder, arm and other parts of the body and fell unconscious. It is further alleged that the assailants continued to beat him inside the café, snatched an amount of ₹10,000/- from his pocket and thereafter fled away from the spot with their respective weapons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. No offence is made out from the FIR itself. All offences except 118(1) BNS are bailable. Further, he contends that the main injury has not been attributed to the petitioners. It is further submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to join the investigation and, therefore, prays for the grant of anticipatory bail.



5. Notice of motion.

6. Mr. Ravinder Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and submitted that the petitioners are actively participated in the occurrence. After pre-meditation, they gathered outside the café and with a common object, caused injuries to the complainant as members of the unlawful assembly and prayed for dismissal of the present petition.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The names of the present petitioners are specifically mentioned in the FIR and a specific role has been attributed to them that they caused injuries. Petitioner namely Anmol Singh has inflicted hand pump blow on the head of victim and co-accused Mandeep Singh @ Lucky gave kappa blow on left hand of the victim.

9. So, taking into consideration the manner in which the offence has been committed; the role attributed to the petitioners in causing injuries to the complainant, the punishment prescribed under the Act and the fact that they are required for custodial interrogation for recovery of the weapons, this Court finds no merit in the instant petitions.

10. Dismissed.

13.08. 2025
Anju

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No