

**103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC.105385



ARB-465-2025

Date of Decision: 13.08.2025

M/S SOKHI INFRASTRUCTURE AND ANOTHER

....Applicants

vs.

TENON FACILITY MANAGEMENT INDIA PVT LTD AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Nikhil Sabharwal, Advocate (through VC)
for the applicants
Mr. Vidit Jain, Advocate
for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The applicants through instant application under Section 29A(4) & (5) of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '1996') Act are seeking extension of mandate of Arbitrator.

2. The applicants preferred an application under Section 11 of 1996 Act seeking appointment of an Arbitrator. By order dated 08.03.2019 of this Court, a Sole Arbitrator was appointed to adjudicate the dispute between the parties. The mandate of Arbitrator in terms of Section 29A of 1996 Act has expired.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that Arbitrator was appointed by this Court, thus, this Court can extend mandate of Arbitrator. Both the parties have filed claims/counter claims. The matter has already been heard.



4. Mr. Vedit Jain, Advocate filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute the afore-stated factual/legal position and prayer of the applicant.

6. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present application is allowed and the mandate of Arbitrator is extended for a period for three months from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

13.08.2025
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No