



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CRWP-8522-2025**Date of decision: 22.08.2025****Inderjit Singh****.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and Ors.****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Rattu, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been invoked for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for appointment of a Warrant Officer for the release of the detenue namely Jujhar (minor son of present petitioner), who is stated to be in illegal confinement of respondent No.4.

Vide order dated 18.08.2025, a Warrant Officer was appointed to visit the alleged places of detention and any other place as may be pointed out to search for the detenue. In compliance of said order, a report dated 20.08.2025 has been filed by the Warrant Officer in Court, which reads as under:

"I left Chandigarh at 6.30 pm on 18.08.2025 along with petitioner. We reached police station Salem Tabri at 9.10 pm on 18.08.2025. Duty Officer ASI Jagjiwan Singh was present in police station. After disclosing my identity and purpose of visit, I asked him to provide police protection to search detenue-Jhujhar at the residence of respondent No. 4.



I along with petitioner and police party reached the premises of respondent Nos 4. Respondent Nos. 4 was present there. Petitioner pointed out towards child present there and said that he is his son Jhujhar (detenue). Seeing the petitioner child hugged him. Thereafter, we came back to police station. He was looking happy with his father. Child was looking happy with his father. On my asking he said that he is happy with his father and will accompany him. Thereafter he had not left the hands of his father. Detenue was handed over to petitioner. Statement of petitioner (Annexure R-1) was recorded in which he stated that he is going at his house along with his son and keep him well.

Respondent No.4 also came in the police station. She told that detenue is residing with her and her daughter (mother of detenue) had performed second marriage and living with her husband.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in consonance with the said report and admits that the custody of the detenue was handed over to the petitioner and therefore he does not want to press the petition any longer.

Disposed of as not pressed.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

22.08.2025
manoj

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No