



114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA-2591-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29th August, 2025

SUMIT TYAGI AND OTHERS

.....Appellant(s)

V/s.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present Mr. Abhijit Singh Rawaley, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Puneet Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

CM-6521-LPA-2025

Delay of 3 days in filing of the appeal has been satisfactorily explained. Accordingly, application is allowed and delay of 3 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

LPA-2591-2025 (O&M)

1. Learned Single Bench, vide its impugned judgement, has dismissed the Writ Petition filed by the appellants, by observing as under in Paras 1 and 2:-

“1. *The petition has been filed seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to issue appointment letters to the petitioners for the post of ‘Art and Craft Teacher’.*

2. *Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly concedes that the issue raised in the petition already stands decided against the petitioners vide judgment dated 19.02.2025 rendered in CWP-16273-2023 titled as Neelam Vs. State of Haryana and Others, along with connected petitions.”*

2. The factual proposition, recorded by the learned Single Bench, is not an issue. Even otherwise, the controversy has been decided by the Division Bench of this Court vide judgment dated 12.05.2025 rendered in LPA-1402-2025 titled as Preeti Malik and Another Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 12.05.2025.

3. In that view of the matter, we do not find any occasion to interfere in this Appeal and the same is dismissed accordingly.

4. All pending applications, if any, in this case are disposed of accordingly.

[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE

[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE

August 29, 2025
Ess Kay

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes	/	No