



CRM-M-44417-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

**CRM-M-44417-2025
Decided on: 29.10.2025**

Babaljit Kaur @ Babli @ Babaljeet Kaur**.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab****.....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY**

Present: Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J.

1. Petitioner, an accused in case FIR No.64 dated 26.06.2025 registered against her, under Sections 318(4), 316(2), 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 24 of the Emigration Act, 1983, at Police Station Smalsar, District Moga, has filed the petition for grant of pre-arrest bail under Section 482 of BNSS.
2. Learned counsel for the State on instructions from SI-Kalpana Kaur, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 29.09.2025 and is not needed for further investigation. It has also been pointed out that one of the co-accused has been granted concession of bail, wherein other one is in custody.
3. Heard.



4. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 23.09.2025, the following order was passed:

“ Petitioner, an accused in case FIR No.64 dated 26.06.2025 registered against her, under Sections 318(4), 316(2), 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 24 of the Emigration Act, 1983, at Police Station Smalsar, District Moga, has filed the petition for grant of pre-arrest bail under Section 482 of BNSS.

In compliance of the previous order, status report dated 03.09.2025 by way of affidavit of Mr. Dalbir Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Baghapurana, District Moga, has already been filed. The same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. She never induced the complainant to part with his hard earned money. It was her husband, Pawan Kumar Sharma, with whom she has strained relations, who had in fact, lured complainant and had assured him to send his daughter abroad. When Pawan Kumar Sharma could not fulfill the promise, two cheques total amounting to Rs.24 Lakh were issued by him. Learned counsel contends that petitioner is not in any way involved in the incident. It was her husband, who had lured the complainant and he is already behind bars. Nonetheless, she is willing and ready to join the investigation.

Learned State counsel has also admitted the fact that husband of the petitioner is behind bars as also the other co-accused have also been granted concession of bail.

In view of the submission advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, but without expressing any opinion



on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, she shall be released on interim bail on her furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. She shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

Adjourned to 29.10.2025.”

5. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner has joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 23.09.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

29.10.2025

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO