



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

142

CRWP-8513-2025
Date of decision: 06.08.2025

SAKSHI AND ANOTHERPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Parveen, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Varsha Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Varun Gupta, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 14.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Sakshi	Parvesh
Age (in years)	21	21
Date of marriage	28.07.2025	
Rite	Hindu Rites	
Represented on	30.07.2025	
Submitted to	Superintendent of Police, District Jind, Haryana	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 to 14.	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have



- 2-

solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 30.07.2025 (Annexure P-4), to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Jind, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. At this stage, Ms. Varsha Sharma, Advocate and Mr. Varun Gupta, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 to 14.

6. Counsel for respondents No.4 to 14 submits that father of petitioner No.1 wishes to meet her once to talk peacefully and make her understand.

7. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Jind, to look into the representation dated dated 30.07.2025 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

8. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal



action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

9. Besides, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Jind, would acknowledge the request made by respondents No.4 (father of petitioner No.1) in the present petition. If the circumstances so permit, respondent No.2 shall make necessary arrangements to facilitate a meeting between petitioner No.1 and respondents No.4 (father of petitioner No.1), subject to the condition that no untoward incident is caused by them during such interaction.

06.08.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No