



**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA-5973-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 17.03.2025**

SADHNA SAXENA

....Appellant

Versus

ARCHNA PENTAL AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

**Present : Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Tarun Seth, Advocate
for the appellant.**

**Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.1/caveator.**

**Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.2**

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

On 7th of March, 2025, following order was passed :

“Parties are present in person.

Kalpna Ghosh has joined through V.C.

Principally all the three sisters have agreed to settle the matter amicably. Respondent No.1-Archna Pental has agreed to settle her rights in the property for an amount of Rs.65,00,000/-. So has Mrs. Kalpna Ghosh.

The aforesaid proposal is acceptable to the appellant. She agrees to pay Rs.65,00,000/- each to Archna Pental and Kalpna Ghosh. She submits that she will make an endeavour to pay an amount to Rs.30,00,000/- each within a period of two months from the date of compromise and shall pay the residual amount to both the sisters within a period of three months thereafter.



Counsels representing rival parties pray for time to place on record written compromise.

Keeping in view that Kalpna Ghosh has joined through V.C. and has been identified by her sisters and has expressed consent to the aforesaid proposal, copy of the compromise be sent to her through e-mail and she can submit her consent through e-mail, which shall be taken as her concluded compromise deed on her behalf.

Adjourned to 17.03.2025.”

2. In compliance thereof, parties are present in person. Sadhna Saxena appellant as well as Archana Pental respondent No.1, are present physically in the Court. Mrs. Kalpna Ghosh-respondent No.2 has joined through V.C.

3. Compromise deed dated 07.03.2025 has been filed today in Court. The same is taken on record. Compromise deed has been read over to the parties. All of them have agreed.

4. The appeal is disposed off in the terms of compromise. The same be made part of the decree.

5. Apart from the compromise, it has been further resolved today in the Court that :

(i) After appellant has paid the agreed amount to respondents No.1 and 2, she will be at liberty to apply to respondent No.3. There will be no impediment in respondent No.3 executing conveyance deed in favour of the appellant *qua* claim of respondents No.1 and 2.



(ii) Further, the appellant shall deposit/pay amount to respondents No.1 and 2 after deducting statutory tax at source as per law. She shall handover the certificates/vouchers w.r.t. such deposit of tax to respondents No.1 and 2.

6. Ordered accordingly.

March 17, 2025

(Pankaj Jain)
Judge

Dpr

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No