

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:146439



210

**CRM-M-42836-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.10.2025.**

Jaswinder Kaur @ Bunty

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Saksham Dudeja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.79 dated 08.05.2025 under Sections 22 and 29/61/85 of NDPS Act and Section 111 of BNS, registered at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana.

As per prosecution case, co-accused Rahul Bahman and Amritpal Singh @ Vicky were found in possession of 190 loose intoxicating capsules and 58 loose intoxicating tablets. The petitioner was nominated as an accused in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of the said co-accused, who stated that the petitioner was also indulging in smuggling of narcotics.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that no recovery had been effected from the petitioner and she is not connected with the offence in the present case. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in the

present case only on the basis of disclosure statement of aforesaid co-accused, which is not admissible in law. Co-accused, namely, Rahul Bahman, Amritpal Singh @ Vicky @ Amrit and Beant Singh have already been granted concession of bail. He prayed that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and she is ready and willing to join the investigation and the present petition be allowed.

Learned State counsel opposed the present petition and submitted that the petitioner was also indulging in smuggling. He has been specifically named in the disclosure statement of co-accused and as such she is not entitled to the concession of bail.

Heard.

As per the allegations, the name of the petitioner surfaced in the present case, only, on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Rahul Bahman and Amritpal Singh @ Vicky. Except the disclosure statement, there is no material on record to connect the petitioner with the offence in question. The complicity of the petitioner is to be proved during the trial. The alleged recovery of contraband has already been effected in the present case from co-accused and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. Co-accused, namely, Rahul Bahman, Amritpal Singh @ Vicky @ Amrit and Beant Singh have already been granted concession of bail. The custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for any purpose and no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. In the event of her arrest, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, on her furnishing bail/surety bonds, to the

satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions, as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and she shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail application.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

27.10.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No