



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

269

CRWP-8528-2025  
Date of decision: 11.11.2025

DHARAMPAL

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Sween Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

**YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)**

1. Prayer in this petition is under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for quashing of the impugned order dated 30.06.2025 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent No.1 whereby the prayer of the petitioner for premature release has been deferred for one year despite fulfilling all the conditions of premature release policy dated 12.4.2002.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a Coordinate Bench of this Court in **Pohlu @ Polu Ram v. State of Haryana and others** in Criminal Writ Petition No.8232 of 2022 decided vide judgment dated 5.2.2024 and in Criminal Writ Petition No.2160 of 2024 titled **Raju alias Rajesh v. State of Haryana and others** decided vide judgment dated 11.3.2024 has held that the case of premature release could not have been deferred on the basis of the opinion of the Presiding Officer of the Court which had convicted the petitioner. The petitioner never misused the concession of parole or furlough and did not pose any threat to the security and did not commit any jail offence. Learned counsel next



contended that his case for premature release has been deferred for one year without assigning any cogent reasons.

3. Learned State counsel has filed the status report by way of affidavit of Rajesh Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Railways, Faridabad which is already on the file and the legal position as set out in **Pohlu alias Polu Ram**’s case (supra) has not been disputed.

4. In view of above, the impugned order (Annexure P-3) is set aside. State of Haryana is directed to reconsider the case of the petitioner for grant of premature release and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order passed by this Court.

5. Petition stands disposed of.

11.11.2025  
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)  
JUDGE

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned. | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable.        | : | Yes/No |