



CRM-M-43071-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

330

CRM-M-43071-2025

Decided on :24.09.2025

**Randhir Singh alias Dhira and another
Versus**

.....Petitioners

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Tushaar Madaan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Surinder Thakur, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.**SANJAY VASHISTH, J.**

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 482 Cr.P.C.), seeking quashing of the impugned complaint dated 25.05.2023 (Annexure P-1) bearing registration No. SC-150-2023, Filing No. 3631/2024, Filing dated 21.05.2024, registration No.150/2024, Registration Date 21.05.2024, CNR No. PBH0010048492094, titled as, "Sunita Devi v. Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur and others", under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., for registration of FIR for offences under Sections 307/379-B/34 IPC, 25 of Arms Act and Section 3 of SC/ST Act, and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise-deed dated 23.07.2025 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.



2. Vide order dated 11.08.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.
3. Report has since been received from learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the complaint qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.
4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Two accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One complainant and one victim
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High	No complainant/accused has been left out in the petition and all have been arrayed as party in the petition.



	Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Compromise effected between the parties appeared to be genuine, voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	Noting worth noticing reported

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are the only named accused in the complaint in question, and that the private respondents are complainant/aggrieved party therein.

6. Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Tarlochan Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Faridkot, in Court today. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper book. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the same during the course of arguments.

7. In view of the report of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh*



CRM-M-43071-2025

4

and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal)

1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid complaint and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

9. Petition stands disposed of.

24.09.2025*rashmi***(SANJAY VASHISTH)****JUDGE**Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**