



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(123)

CR-5330-2025**Date of Decision:-11.08.2025**

SUMAN

... Appellant

Versus

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- Mr. Gulshan Nandwani, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for indulgence of this Court for setting aside the order dated 29.01.2025, vide which, the cross-examination of DW1-Parveen Kumar, J.E. and DW2 Harkesh Singhal was closed. The order was assailed on the ground that the non-appearance for cross-examination by the counsel for the revisionist was neither willful nor intentional but on account of his remaining busy in advancement of arguments in another Court. Impugned order clearly shows that two effective opportunities were granted for cross-examination of witnesses but on both occasions, the learned counsel for the revisionist sought adjournment and has not cross-examined the witnesses. In the interest of justice and for fair adjudication of the dispute between the parties, the impugned order is set aside subject to payment of cost of Rs.20,000/- to the respondents and only one effective opportunity would be granted to the revisionist to cross-examine the witnesses. Payment of cost would be condition precedent for availing the opportunity.



2. Accordingly, the revision petition is dismissed. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly

11.08.2025
S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No