



CRM-M-44092-2025

1

**258 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-44092-2025****Date of decision : 20.08.2025****Simranjit Singh @ Gagna****.....Petitioner****versus****State of Punjab****..... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Bikramjeet Singh Jatana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simran Gorla, A.A.G., Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner has approached this Court by way of present petition praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.74 dated 05.08.2024, under Sections 109/118(1)/115/191(3)/190/351 of BNS (Section 117(2) BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur, Punjab.

3. As per the facts of the case the present case was registered on the statement of complainant, namely, Arshdeep Singh @ Arsh. It was alleged that on 03.08.2024, he along with his friend Gaganpreet Singh were going on a motorcycle from village Kunra to Badrukha to drop Ravi. After dropping Ravi, when they were returning back, they were waylaid by Simranjit Singh @ Gagna (present petitioner), Kala, Dilpreet Singh @ Delli and Harpreet Singh @ Nagg, who were duly armed. In conspiracy with each other all of them open attacked him. Petitioner raised lalkara and gave gandas blow on the head of complainant and Harpreet Singh @ Nagg gave a kirpan blow on his head whereas Kala attacked with a rod on his right elbow and right wrist and others also attacked him. As he suffered various injuries, he was admitted in Civil Hospital, Sangrur. The request was made to take legal action against the culprits. On registration



of FIR, investigation commenced. The petitioner was arrested on 31.08.2024. He approached the Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur praying for grant of bail, however, finding no merit, the application was declined after hearing both the sides by Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur vide order dated 27.05.2025. Aggrieved by the same, petitioner is before this Court by way of filing of present petition.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, prays for the grant of bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity with that of the co-accused, namely, Gurdeep Singh @ Kala and Harpreet Singh @ Nagg, who have been granted regular bail by this Court vide common order dated 19.08.2025 passed in **CRM-M-19088-2025 and CRM-M-24964-2025**. He has submitted that the case of the petitioner is at par with the said co-accused. He submits that the petitioner is in custody since the date of his arrest. He submits that on the basis of the parity, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail as the case of the petitioner is similar to that of the said co-accused, who has already been granted bail.

5. Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner and submits that the petitioner along with co-accused had caused various injuries to the complainant. However, she has endorsed the factum of grant of bail to the co-accused of the petitioner as stated above and has not denied that the petitioner is at par with the co-accused, namely, Gurdeep Singh @ Kala and Harpreet Singh @ Nagg.

6. On hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the occurrence had taken place on 03.08.2024. As per custody certificate, petitioner has suffered an incarceration of 11 months



and 17 days as on 19.08.2025. It further reflects that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents. Admittedly, co-accused, namely, Gurdeep Singh @ Kala and Harpreet Singh @ Nagg, who have been granted regular bail by this Court vide common order dated 19.08.2025 passed in **CRM-M-19088-2025 and CRM-M-24964-2025** and the case of the petitioner is at par with that of co-accused.

7. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court.

8. The trial of the case will take sufficiently long time. Thus, keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail on the basis of parity with that of co-accused. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Court/Duty Magistrate.

(**RAJESH BHARDWAJ**)
JUDGE

20.08.2025

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No