



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

164+207-1

CWP-23529-2024 (O&M)

SUBHASH CHAND GOEL AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

164+207-2

CWP-23531-2024 (O&M)

NAIB SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

A N D

164+207-3

CWP-32867-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.05.2025

SHYAM SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rahul Dev, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Involving similar controversy, all these writ petitions are being disposed of by a common order. Brief reference to the controversy is, however, being made from CWP-23529-2024 titled as ‘Subhash Chand Goel and Others Versus State of Haryana and Others’.

Prayer in the said petition is for grant of benefit of annual increment in terms of Rule 10 of “The Haryana Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules 2008” dated 30.12.2008 and to refix pay/pension/pensionary benefit in light of the subsequent judgments passed by this Court.

Vide order dated 17.09.2024, the present writ petitions were ordered to be listed after the decision of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in SLP (Civil) Diary No.37859 of 2024.

The misc. application bearing No.CM-7987-CWP-2025 had thereafter been moved by the counsel for the petitioners, that at the time of filing the instant petitions, the petitioners were seeking the benefit in terms of the judgment dated 16.04.2024 passed in CWP No.8364 of 2024 titled ‘Suresh Kumar Singla and Others Versus State of Haryana and Others’. He contends that in the meantime, the Hon’ble Supreme Court decided similar issues as are sought to be decided through the present writ petitions. The Hon’ble Division Bench of this Court was also seized of similar issues in ***CWP-14627 of 2024*** titled as ***Mahabir Singh Tanwar and Others Versus State of Haryana and Others*** and it allowed the said writ petition vide judgment dated ***24.04.2025*** relying upon the judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court passed in ***Civil Appeal No.3933 of 2023 decided on 19.05.2023*** titled as ***Union of India and Another Versus Siddaraj*** alongwith the connected misc. applications in the matter. It is further stated that

various intervening applications were filed in Civil Appeal No.3933 of 2023, which were also decided by a detailed judgment dated 20.02.2025. The Division Bench of this Court, seized of similar matters, thus held that once the issue already stands decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, a mere pendency of certain other SLPs involving similar issues would be inconsequential. He contends that the controversy having been now examined and settled by the Division Bench vide its aforesaid order/judgment dated **24.04.2025 (supra)** by specifically referring to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, his writ petitions may also be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for grant of benefit of increment in light thereof and to pass a reasoned and speaking order within a time bound manner and in the event the petitioners are held entitled to the admissible benefit, the same be released to them.

Learned State Counsel has no objection to the same.

In view of the above, the misc. applications No.CM-7987-CWP-2025, CM-8030-CWP-2025 in CWP-23531-2024 and CM-8085-CWP-2025 in CWP-32867-2024 are allowed and the present writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for grant of increment and to pass a reasoned and speaking order within a period of three months of the receipt of certified copy of this order, after taking into consideration the order/judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated **19.05.2023 (supra)** as well as the order/judgment of the Division Bench of this Court dated **24.04.2025 (supra)**.

Needless to say that in the event the petitioners are held entitled to the claimed benefit, the same be also released in their favour within a further period of two months, along with arrears, if any.

All other misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

MAY 29, 2025.
Rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No