

CWP-24608-2024

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(120)

CWP-24608-2024

Date of Decision: 27.01.2025

SURESH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the petitioner.


Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana
Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Addl. A.G. Haryana
Mr. P.P. Chahar, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana
Mr. Saurabh Mago, D.A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana
Mr. Karan Jindal, A.A.G. Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

Reply by way of an affidavit of Mr. Pradeep Dahiya, IAS, Deputy Commissioner cum District Magistrate, Jhajjar i.e. respondent No.5 has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

The petitioner asked for the making mandamus directing the respondents No. 2 and 3 to decide the claim of the peittioenr for making correction in the consolidation scheme and entering the name of the petitioner as *gair marusi* in khasra No.1947 min and 2113 min.

Since, today the learned Addl. A.G. Haryana has placed on record an affidavit disclosing therein that a declining order has already been passed through Annexure R-4 by the authority concerned. Therefore, in the wake of the above, the instant writ petition has become rendered infructuous, and, therebys becomes disposed of as such. However, as prayed for by the



CWP-24608-2024

learned counsel for the petitioner, he is permitted to challenge the declining order as become rendered through Annexure R-4.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

27.01.2025
mahima

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No