



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Criminal Writ Petition No. 8493 of 2025

Date of Decision: 07.08.2025

Nidhi Gupta and Another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surya Partap Singh.

Present: Dr. Vikas Rohal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Surya Partap Singh, J.

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners for directions to the respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the respondents No.4 to 6.
2. The learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed a representation dated 02.08.2025 (Annexure P4) before respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Panipat. The learned counsel prays for seeking directions to the respondent No.2 to decide the above mentioned representation within a stipulated time.
2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.
3. Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a direction for disposing of the representation (Annexure P4) be given to respondent No.2 and the instant writ petition be disposed of.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P4) within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order.

6. It is directed that the petitioners will be provided adequate safety and security to their life and liberty, if required. It is clarified that any observation is not being made by this Court with regard to threat perception to the petitioners. The police authority of District Panipat shall be at liberty to make assessment with regard to threat perception and take a decision with regard to providing adequate security to the petitioners.

(Surya Partap Singh)
Judge

August 07, 2025
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No