



CRM-M-42626-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

CRM-M-42626-2025

Date of Decision: 12.08.2025

Abhishek Grewal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Sourabh Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Baljeet.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.170, dated 16.04.2025, registered under Sections 309 (6), 3(5) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Bhiwani Sadar, District Bhiwani.

2. Present case was registered on the basis of complaint given to the police by Rohit son of Lilu Ram with the allegation that on 14.04.2025, he has come to village Hansawas to attend the marriage of sister of his friend Manjeet. On 15.04.2025, he started back for Meham and he was waiting for some vehicle at Bhiwani. At about 12:00 noon, when he was standing near Honda Chowk, Gaushala, two boys came there on a motorcyle and he signalled them to stop and inquired as to where they were going, on which they disclosed that they were going



towards Meham and he took lift from them. After crossing the railway line, near village Kaluwas, they turned the motorcycle towards the fields. He requested them to stop the motorcycle and they reached in a hut situated in the fields. They caused injuries to him. Thereafter, one of them made telephonic call and their three companions also reached there. All of them caused injuries to him with fist, kick blows and sticks. They were addressing one of them as Ajay and the other as Khaddu. Thereafter, they snatched his silver chain, one mobile phone and a sum of Rs.100/-. Thereafter, he ran away from the spot and reached the hospital. The matter was investigated after registration of an FIR. During investigation, accused Ajay was arrested, who suffered disclosure statement and he got recovered a motorcycle used during the commission of crime and thereafter, Abhishek was arrested. After completion of investigation, challan was presented against accused Ajay and Abhishek on 13.06.2025. Remaining accused are yet to be arrested.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated. He has not been named in the FIR and he has been nominated as an accused in the disclosure statement of co-accused Ajay. Nothing was recovered from his possession. The investigation qua petitioner has already been completed and challan has been presented. No test identification parade of the petitioner has been conducted by the police during the investigation. The trial is likely to take long time to conclude and as such, petitioner may be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has argued that petitioner has committed a heinous offence and in case, he is released on bail, he may abscond and tamper the trial or intimidate the witnesses and



thus, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

5. Petitioner has not been named in the FIR. No test identification parade has been got conducted by the police after the arrest of the accused. What will be the effect of withholding of test identification parade will be seen during the trial. Petitioner is in custody since 02.06.2025. Investigation qua the petitioner stands completed and final report has been presented. Charge has already been framed, but no witness has been examined as yet. As such, trial is likely to take sufficiently long time to conclude. In these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner is not required.

6. Considering the fact that the trial is likely to take some more time to conclude, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars. Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner, is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression on the merits of the case

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

12.08.2025
pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No