



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CR-5292-2025

Date of Decision:-03.09.2025

LAL PURI

... Petitioner

Versus

MAHANT PARAMJEET BHARTHI AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- Mr. Suneet Pal Singh Aulakh,
for the petitioner.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the Order dated 06.05.2025 (for short 'impugned order') passed by Additional Civil Judge, Senior Division, Moga, vide which, the application filed by the petitioner under Order 1 Rule 10 read with Section 151 of Code of Civil Procedure (CPC) for impleading him as party in the suit was dismissed.

2. A civil suit is pending in the Court of Additional Civil Judge, Senior Division, Moga, wherein the question of appointment to the office of *Mohtamim* of dera namely "*Guru Granth Sahib Dera Baba Tatariya*" Village Charik, Tehsil and District Moga is disputed. Both plaintiff and defendants are claiming themselves to be the *Mohtamims* of the Dera, whereas, petitioner was duly appointed as *Mohtamim* of the Dera as he was appointed by Shri Panchayati Akhara Mahanirvani Kankhal, Haridwar as per prevailing customs and procedure vide resolution dated 18.09.2023 (copy of which is annexed as Anneuxre P-5). Petitioner filed an application for impleading him as party in the suit. The learned Civil judge after calling for reply and hearing arguments dismissed the application vide impugned order.



3. Aggrieved by the order, the present revision petition has been filed.
4. Learned Additional Civil Judge, Senior Division, Moga has declined the application vide impugned order by recording the findings that no document has been placed on record that society, which appointed him as *Mohtamim*, has any connection with the Dera and that the petitioner is not a necessary party to the suit. The application has been filed just to delay the disposal of the suit. Perusal of the application filed by the petitioner shows that the petitioner alleges himself to be appointed as *Mohtamim* by Panchayati Akhara Mahanirvani Kankhal, Haridwar and copy of that resolution dated 18.09.2023 has been placed on record as Annexure P5. Perusal of Annexure P5 shows that the petitioner was appointed only as a Saint of the Akhara and not as *Mohtamim* of the Dera by virtue of the said Resolution, and there is nothing in the Resolution that indicates the Dera in question has any connection with Shri Panchayati Akhara Mahanirvani, Kankhal, Haridwar.
5. In such circumstances, the order passed by learned Additional Civil Judge, Senior Division, Moga does not suffer from any illegality or perversity. The learned Additional Civil Judge, Senior Division, Moga has exercised the jurisdiction vested in her properly and does not call for any interference of this Court.
6. In view of this, the present petition is dismissed. Pending application(s), if any, is/are disposed of accordingly.

3rd September, 2025
S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No