


COCP-3914-2025

2025.PHHC.161523



1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

COCP-3914-2025

Date of decision : 19.11.2025

Nitin Trehan

..... Petitioner

versus

Madhu Trehan and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Edward Augustine George, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Pal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vidyatma Malik, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 3.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Tejinder Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Abhinav Sood, Addl. Senior Standing Counsel with
Mr. Satbir Singh, Jr. Panel Counsel and
Mr. Sagyam Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.4-U.T. Chandigarh.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Counsel for the parties are *id idem* that the settlement with respect to the property situated in Chandigarh under the jurisdiction of Estate Officer, U.T. stands complied with.

2. The residual issue is only qua the agricultural land which needs to devolve upon as per covenant contained in (e) of the settlement Annexure P-3 dated 01.04.2018. The parties are at liberty to move an appropriate application before the competent authority to get the land partitioned in terms of the settlement. In case any of the term of the settlement is violated, the parties will be at liberty to move an appropriate

application seeking revival of the present petition.



3. Disposed off, with liberty as prayed for.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

19.11.2025

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No