



CRM-M-42419-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211/2

CRM-M-42419-2025
Decided on: 18.11.2025

Harpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Sandeep S. Majithia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.108 dated 24.04.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 351(2), 3(5) BNS at PS Division No. 7, District Ludhiana.

2. On 06.08.2025, following order was passed:

“(i) Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:

<i>Name of Petitioner(s)</i>	<i>FIR No.</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Section(s)</i>	<i>Police Station</i>	<i>District</i>
<i>Harpreet Singh, aged about 36 years</i>	<i>108</i>	<i>24.04.2025</i>	<i>115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 351(2), 3(5) BNS</i>	<i>Division No. 7</i>	<i>Ludhiana</i>



(ii) *At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that co-accused, namely Baljit Singh @ Baljeet Singh, has already been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 14.07.2025, passed in CRM-M-36315-2025.*

While granting said relief, this Court had taken note of the fact that the alleged attack by the co-accused with a dattar on the back of the injured, Gurnoor Singh, had resulted in a simple injury.

(iii) *Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that injury attributed to the petitioner, although caused with a sword, was inflicted on a non-vital part of the body, specifically, on the leg of the injured, Gurnoor Singh, and as per the instructions received by him, injury caused by the petitioner's alleged act is simple in nature. Moreover, petitioner is ready to join the investigation and fully cooperate, if protected from arrest by this Court. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.*

(iv) *Notice of motion.*

(v) *On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent State, and Mr. Harmanpreet S. Mander, Advocate (for Mr. Harpreet S. Multani), puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant, and files his vakalatnama in Court today, which is taken on record.*

(vi) *Learned State counsel, assisted by counsel for the complainant, is unable to assist this Court on the specific issue that whether the injury attributed to the petitioner is grievous in nature, or not. However, it is submitted that, as per the Medico-Legal Report, the injury suffered by the injured was caused by a sharp-edged weapon, which is consistent with the version recorded in the FIR, wherein the weapon used is stated to be a kirpan/sword.*

(vii) *Adjourned to 15.09.2025.*

To be heard along with CRM-M-36315-2025.

(viii) *Learned State counsel is directed to file status report in the matter, detailing therein the actual dispute between the parties and*



nature of the injuries and the evidence available.
(ix) In the meanwhile, petitioner shall not be arrested. However, issue of joining of investigation by the petitioner would be examined on the next date of hearing, after reviewing the status report, which is yet to be filed by learned State counsel.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance to the order dated 06.08.2025 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation on 23.08.2025.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 23.08.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.
5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 06.08.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

18.11.2025
reena

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES/ NO
Whether Reportable:	YES /NO