



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

299

**CRM-M-42785-2025 (O&M)
Decided on: 09.12.2025**

SURENDER

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikram Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishesh Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Mahender Singh Chahal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.177 dated 15.03.2025 under Section 326(g) of BNS, registered at Police Station Palla, District Faridabad along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, dated 24.07.2025 (Annexures P-2 & P-3) arrived at between the parties.
2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Ankit.
3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this



case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Faridabad along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

7. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

8. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and***



another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.177 dated 15.03.2025 under Section 326(g) of BNS, registered at Police Station Palla, District Faridabad along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners, subject to payment of Rs.5,000/- as cost, to be deposited with Haryana State Legal Services Authority, Panchkula, in account No.11901238123 IFSC Code:SBIN00004172, State Bank of India, Sector-14, Panchkula.

9. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 09.01.2026, the present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

09.12.2025
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO