



208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-45533-2024
DECIDED ON: 22.01.2025**

SADIK ALIAS SARIK**.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA**.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Ishnoor Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG Haryana

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0171, dated 16.05.2019, under Section 395 of the IPC, 1860 and Sections 25 and 54 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat.

This Court vide order dated 12.09.2024, while issuing notice of motion, granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner, subject to him joining the investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the order dated 12.09.2024, the petitioner could not join the investigation, as he is in jail in some other FIR bearing No.97 dated 23.05.2019, registered at Police Station Sanoli Panipat, under Sections 398, 401 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959.

Learned State counsel could not controvert the fact rather candidly submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner in the present case is not required.

In the light of above, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to his joining investigation with the Investigating Officer as and when called, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-

‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

- (i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- (ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- (iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;*
- (iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.’*

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

22.01.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No