



108+230 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH

**CRM-2858-2025 in/and
CRM-M-45490-2024
Date of Decision: 25.02.2025**

Vikram Singh	...Petitioner
State of Haryana and another	...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

CRM-2858-2025

1. Application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Necessary documents are taken on record.

CRM-M-45490-2024

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.195 dated 28.05.2023 registered under Sections 406, 420, 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Dharuhera, District Rewari.

2. The FIR in the present case was registered on the basis of the statement made by Balbir Singh son of Roshan Lal. It has been alleged that the present petitioner had entered into an agreement to sell dated 08.01.2021 with the complainant, however, subsequently, Vikram Singh, petitioner had sold his land to a lady, namely, Kavita Yadav, vide registered sale deed No.1957, dated



13.01.2021. He further contends that it was essentially a civil dispute, which has been illegally converted into a criminal offence by the police. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 03.05.2024 and is in custody for the last more than 9 months. After completion of investigation, challan has already been presented against him before the Court. Learned counsel has further placed reliance on the orders dated 29.01.2024 (Annexures P-9 and P-10) passed by this Court, whereby other co-accused, namely, Narender @ Narender Yadav and Chetan Chauhan have been allowed the concession of bail by this Court. He further contends that in the present case, charge has been framed against the petitioner recently and no witness has been examined so far. Even all the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate and the conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, all the offences against the petitioner are triable by the Court of Magistrate and the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 9 months. Even no witness has been examined so far and there are no chances of early conclusion of the trial.

6. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail



subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

25.02.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No