

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:099146



(109)

**CRWP-8426-2025
Decided on : 04.08.2025**

Ramit Padalia & another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: Mr.Yatin Mehta, Advocate
Ms.Rajni Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr.G.S.Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

2. It is pleaded in the petition that the petitioners are major and in proof thereof, photocopy of Matriculation certificates have been appended as Annexures P-1 & P-2. They have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members on 01.08.2025 as per Hindu rites, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. The marriage certificate and photographs are appended as Annexures P-3 & P-4, respectively. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 01.08.2025 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No.3- Senior

Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, to look into the representation dated 01.08.2025 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

04.08.2025

Sailesh

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No