



268

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50220-2022

Date of Decision: **29.01.2025**

Rajinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Avneet Kaur, Advocate and
Mr. Shadab Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Karandeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.PC seeking direction to official respondent No.2 to initiate disciplinary action against the official respondent Nos.3 and 4 and further prayer has been made to take appropriate legal action against the private respondents.

2. Mr. Karandeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.5 and filed Power of Attorney, which is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector, Heera Singh submits that petitioner and respondent No.5 have solemnized marriage on 20.02.2022 and the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana granted interim protection to them on 21.02.2022 (Annexure P-1). It is further alleged that during the pendency of the protection petition, the family members of respondent No.5 took her away and the petitioner has submitted representation

dated 23.05.2022 (Annexure P-3) before the jurisdictional police authorities. He further submits that the present petition is totally misconceived. On 02.07.2022 respondent No.5 herself appeared before the Court concerned and suffered a statement that she may be allowed to withdraw the protection petition and the petitioner apprehends that the pregnancy of respondent No.5 would be terminated as the expected date of delivery of child was of 25.11.2022. The jurisdictional police authorities has failed to take any action on the detailed representation as such the disciplinary action is required to be taken against the official respondents for not properly investigating the matter and for not registering the FIR. He further submits that statement of petitioner was recorded which is available on record along with reply as Annexure R-1/T in which he categorically stated that he would not want any action on the application made by him.

4. Thus, keeping in view the statement given by the petitioner, no further direction is required to be passed by this Court and the present petition stands disposed of.

29.01.2025
Parveen kumar

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No