



CRM-M-45718-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

259

CRM-M-45718-2024

Date of decision : 06.02.2025

Parveen

..... Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and Anr.

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Mr. R.K.Choudhary, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)**

1. Petitioner- Parveen has filed instant petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.190 dated 07.04.2022, under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 16.07.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. As per the facts of the case, complainant Simmi filed written complaint against her husband Parveen and other members of in-laws family alleging that she got married with Parveen on 07.12.2020. She gave birth to a child on 17.10.2021. The marriage was performed with great pomp and show. Mother of complainant had spent Rs.12 Lacs on her marriage. Her husband and in-laws family were unhappy with the dowry articles. She was illtreated in the matrimonial home. Nobody took care of her. She was taunted and humiliated by her husband and in-laws family. She repeatedly explained that her mother was not able to satisfy their demands. She was beaten up by her husband under



CRM-M-45718-2024

-2-

the influence of liquor and drugs. He abused her and compelled her to get money to purchase a car. Mother of the complainant also requested the accused persons to keep her properly. Finally, on 06.01.2021 her mother arranged Rs.1,00,000/- and gave it to her father-in-law. There was no change in their behaviour. She has narrated various incidents which took place in the matrimonial home. The matter was compromised during this period but they repeated their earlier behaviour. Minor child is staying with her. Ultimately, she filed this complaint.

3. Petitioner filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Vide order dated 13.09.2024, petitioner and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kalka dated 12.11.2024. Statement of respondent No.2 has been recorded, where she confirmed the compromise with petitioner. She confirmed that this compromise has been effected amicably and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

4. Petitioner- Parveen also confirmed this fact in his separate statement. Statement of SI Pooja is also recorded who further confirmed that accused is not involved or declared as proclaimed offender in any other criminal case.

5. Learned counsel representing State has filed status report, which is taken on record.

6. Therefore, from the report of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kalka, it is clear that compromise has been effected between the parties amicably.

They have mutually settled all their claims arisen from matrimonial dispute.



CRM-M-45718-2024

-3-

They have decided to part ways by filing joint petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act. Matter has been settled in Rs.7,00,000/- out of which Rs.3,50,000/- are to be paid by petitioner to complainant at the time of recording of first motion statements, and balance amount will be paid at the time of recording of second motion statements. Thereafter, they will be able to live independently in peace and harmony. No purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings.

7. Gainful reference can be made to the judgment of Larger Bench of Five Judges of this High Court cited in **2007(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052 tilted as Kulwinder Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.,** where it was explained that ‘there can never be any hard and fast category which can be prescribed to enable the court to exercise its power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. The only principle that can be laid down is the one which has been incorporated in the section itself i.e. to prevent abuse of the process of any court or to secure the ends of justice.’

8. Therefore, by relying upon the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings. Considering these facts, the petition filed by the petitioner is accepted and FIR No.190 dated 07.04.2022, under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua petitioner.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)  
JUDGE

06.02.2025.

Sunil Devi

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:        | Yes/No |