

105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-3865-2025**Date of decision: 27.11.2025****RAMPHAL AND OTHERS****..Petitioners****Versus****SUBASH CHANDER****..Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Manoj Kakkar, Advocate
Mr. Abhishek Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)


1. The contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 15.05.2025 passed in CWP-14048-2025, whereby, the following direction was issued by this Court:-

“2. In light of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners shall now apply to the competent authority for change of mutation in the revenue record in terms of the Haryana Dholidar, Butimar, Bhondedar and Muqararidar (Vesting of Proprietary Rights) Amendment Act, 2018.


3. The afore application as and when made by the petitioners would be considered by the competent authority within one month from the day of its filing, in accordance with law.”

2. In compliance of order dated 15.05.2025, affidavit of Mr. Subhash Chander, HCS, SDO (C) cum Collector, Sonapat dated 25.08.2025 has been filed along with Annexure R-1 to R-3.

3. The relevant para 9 of affidavit dated 25.08.2025 is reproduced as under:-



“That the contents of the Para No. 9 are admitted being correct to the extent that on 09.06.2025, the petitioner moved an application to enter and sanction the mutation in their name along with Jamabandi, documents and certified copy of order Dated 15.05.2025 before the respondent, Ld. Deputy Commissioner, Sonipat which was duly received vide dairy no. 445 Dated 09.06.2025 and the same was forwarded to the office of SDM Sonipat vide Dairy No. 1030/SK on 12.06.2025. it is pertinent to submit here that the petitioner has not come with clean hands and has suppressed the true and material facts from this Hon'ble Court. In fact the answering respondents received an application as stated by the petitioners on Dated 04-07- 2025 and on the same day answering respondent fixed the case for 23.07.2025 of further hearing of the petitioners. But on Dated 23.07.2025 neither the petitioners nor his counsel appeared before the answering respondent and next date was given as 14.08.2025. But again on 14.08.2025 the petitioners and his counsel did not appear before the answering respondent. Legal Officer of Panchayat of Village Karewari appeared and argued the matter before the answering respondents and next date was given as 20.08.2025. On the same day answering respondent proceed ex parte against the petitioners/contemnors. Again on 20.08.2025 the petitioners and his counsel did not appear before the answering respondent and the answering respondent dismissed the application of petitioners vide its order Dated 20.08.2025 with remarks that the petitioners have annexed the revenue record of their land till 2015-2016 but the petitioners did not produce the revenue record after 2015-16 and the petitioners appeared only on 04.07.2025 and after this the petitioners never appeared before the answering



respondent and due to non availability of the revenue record after 2015-16. So, the petitioners could not prove that whether at present he is dohlidar or not and whether he is in the possession of the land. So on this basis, answering respondent dismissed the application of the petitioners vide order Dated 20.08.2025.”

4. A perusal of above show that order dated 15.05.2025 passed in CWP-14048-2025 has been complied with.
5. In view of the same, the contempt is purged and rule is discharged.

November 27th, 2025
Ayub

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No